INTRODUCTION

The Southern Ocean (SO) has a significant influence on marine biogeochemistry globally. It is responsible for the supply of oxygen to the deep ocean interior (Matear et al. 2000), upwelling of nutrients that fuel much of global surface ocean productivity (Sarmiento et al. 2004), and regulation of climate from decadal to interglacial time scales through sequestration and release of CO2 (Sarmiento & Le Quéré 1996, Sigman & Boyle 2000). Plankton strongly influences these cycles, because it is the balance between upwelled supply of CO2 and local biological consumption of nutrients that determines the net
transfer of CO₂ to the atmosphere, the export of particulate carbon to the interior, and the amount of residual nutrients available for transport to the rest of the ocean (Trull et al. 2001a, Takao et al. 2014, Shadwick et al. 2015). Efficiency of these biological processes in the SO is controlled by iron, limiting primary productivity, influencing floristic composition, and resulting in the largest high-nutrient low-chlorophyll (HNLC) region in the global ocean (Martin 1990, de Baar et al. 1995, Banse 1996, Blain et al. 2007). The Subantarctic Zone (SAZ) represents approximately half of the area of the SO, and is the location of the formation of mode and intermediate waters that are responsible for significant sequestration of anthropogenic CO₂ (Sabine et al. 2004). The SAZ is the interface between the SO and waters further north, and exhibits seasonal changes that range from fully macronutrient replete in winter to significant depletion in summer, especially for silicates (Dugdale et al. 1995, Trull et al. 2001b). The SAZ is the focus of this work.

Under future climate scenarios, predicted changes to the SO include increased temperature and higher winds with subsequent changes to stratification, light availability and nutrient resupply to the upper sunlit layer (Matear & Hirst 1999, Boyd et al. 2008, Deppeler & Davidson 2017). Increased zooplankton grazing of phytoplankton may also ensue from their greater sensitivity to climate warming (e.g. Laws 2004, Behrenfeld 2014), or changing phenology and distribution of zooplankton grazers (Poloczanska et al. 2016). Examining seasonal community changes may eventually help to inform the identification of the relative importance of nutrients, carbon system properties, temperature, light, mixing, and grazing on community composition in the SO; these are key issues in the projection of responses to climate change (Boyd & Doney 2002, 2003, Boyd et al. 2008). Possible influences on seasonal phytoplankton dynamics have long focused on nutrient supply and turbulence or mixing as major factors (Margalef 1978). Recently, there has been growing emphasis on trace metals, pH and CO₂ concentrations as important influences (Strzepek et al. 2012). Grazing regimes (top-down controls) may also modulate accumulation of biomass, species composition and phytoplankton size structure in the SO (Legendre & Le Fevre 1995, Turner 2015).

The SAZ of the SO supports a diverse phytoplankton community (Kopczynska et al. 2007, de Salas et al. 2011, Cassar et al. 2015); however, little is known about the seasonal structure and evolution of phytoplankton communities despite their importance in developing and refining models of ecosystem function (Arrigo et al. 1999, Queguiner 2013, Takao et al. 2014). Diatom species, for example, have varying seasonal life strategies and respond differently to iron and silica availability (Cortese & Gersonde 2007, Marchetti & Cassar 2009, Queguiner 2013). Some phytoplankton species contribute more to carbon export than others (Assmy et al. 2013), influenced by their morphology or mucous formation on particle aggregation and sinking rates (Schoemann et al. 2005, Laurenceau-Cornec et al. 2015), while the response of coccolithophores to warming and acidification appears to be strain specific (Cubillos et al. 2007). The specifics of species interactions with zooplankton are similarly complex and influence seasonal cycles (Morel et al. 1991, Strom et al. 2003) and export (Legendre & Le Fevre 1995, Schoemann et al. 2005, Ebersbach et al. 2011, Turner 2015). Thus, detailed study of the seasonal changes in community composition to as deep a level of taxonomic identification as possible is warranted.

The scale and remoteness of the SAZ hampers in situ studies of phytoplankton, with investigations typically consisting of intensive, short-term, and spatially restricted process studies (Bowie et al. 2011, de Salas et al. 2011), or sparsely sampled transects across large areas of ocean with associated variations in hydrographic and biological controls, sometimes repeated for multiple years (Kopczynska et al. 2007, Wright et al. 2010, Takao et al. 2014). Each of these approaches provides important information on community composition, but cannot capture the detailed seasonality of physical and chemical controls, or species responses. To address this gap, the Southern Ocean Time Series (SOTS) program deploys an autonomous water sampler in the SAZ mixed layer, allowing high-resolution sensor data to be coupled with discrete water samples preserved in situ, for analysis once the package is retrieved (Trull et al. 2010, Weeding & Trull 2014, Shadwick et al. 2015). In this study, we collected paired samples every 9 d from early spring 2010 to late autumn 2011 for nutrient analysis and phytoplankton identification. The frequency of sampling and the duration of the deployment allowed a number of questions about phytoplankton community dynamics in the SAZ to be explored: (1) what is the composition of the phytoplankton community in the SAZ; (2) how does this community vary seasonally; and (3) how are the variations influenced by environmental conditions?

This paper presents the first autonomous record of seasonal community structure in the SAZ, and briefly explores its relationships to environmental condi-
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Overview of the SOTS observatory

The SOTS observatory is a facility of the Australian Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS) consisting of 3 deep ocean moorings: the Southern Ocean Flux Station (SOFS), the SAZ sediment trap mooring, and the Pulse Biogeochemistry mooring. The Pulse mooring design addresses challenges associated with collecting measurements from the surface mixed layer, in a deep (>4000 m) site with rough bathymetry and notoriously severe sea-state conditions (Pender et al. 2010). The mixed-layer instrument and water sampler package is suspended from a small surface float at 32 m depth using elastic links to decouple it from surface wave stresses. Locating the SOTS site in the SAZ is based on (i) the rarity of sustained observations in the SO, (ii) existing and ongoing supporting observational programs such as the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) and Ships of Opportunity programs, (iii) previous detailed ship-based process studies, and (iv) capacity to be serviced by research and Antarctic resupply vessels based in Hobart, Tasmania. Comparison to satellite and in situ oceanographic observations suggest it is representative of a broad longitudinal swath of the HNLC SAZ from ~90 to 145°E (Trull et al. 2010, Shadwick et al. 2015).

Oceanographic characteristics of the SOTS site

The SOTS Pulse mooring lies to the south of the Subtropical Front (STF), approximately 530 km southwest of Tasmania at 46°56′S, 142°15′E (Fig. 1A). The oceanography and biogeochemistry of the region are well characterized from multiple repeats of WOCE/CLIVAR SR3 hydrographic sections and ‘MV L’Astrolabe’ Antarctic resupply transits (Rintoul et al. 1997, Rintoul & Bullister 1999, Sokolov & Rintoul 2002, Bender et al. 2016), dedicated process

Fig. 1. Location of the Southern Ocean Time Series (SOTS) observatory and (A) average satellite sea surface temperature (°C) and (B) average chlorophyll (MODIS Aqua, mg m⁻³) for the deployment period. Solid lines indicate locations of the north and south branches of the Subantarctic Front (SAF-N and SAF-S) derived from Sokolov & Rintoul (2002). Dashed line indicates maximum gradient of sea surface height in the Sub Antarctic Zone, as per Herraiz-Borreguero & Rintoul (2011).
studies (Trull et al. 2001c, Bowie et al. 2011, Cassar et al. 2015), and mooring-based observations that began with deep-ocean sediment traps in 1997 (Trull et al. 2001a) and are ongoing as part of the SOTS (e.g. Weeding & Trull 2014, Shadwick et al. 2015, present study). The SOTS site is representative of conditions for a large proportion of the Indian and Australian sectors of the SAZ (Trull et al. 2001a), and lies within a weak anti-cyclonic gyre with predominantly westward flow (Herraiz-Borrego & Rintoul 2011).

The seasonal cycle of mixed-layer depth (MLD) is extreme, reaching more than 500 m in late winter and remaining relatively deep (>50 m) through summer (Rintoul & Trull 2001). Seasonal warming is relatively small at ~3.5°C. Phosphate and nitrate are abundant all year, but silica is depleted by late summer (Bowie et al. 2011). Iron limits primary production (Sedwick et al. 1999, 2008) and, along with grazing, keeps phytoplankton biomass accumulation small (typically less than 0.6 µg chlorophyll l⁻¹). This results in a moderate biologically driven sink for atmospheric CO₂ with subdued seasonality (Shadwick et al. 2015). Annual net community production is difficult to determine because of large exchanges between the surface mixed layer and underlying waters, but appears to be moderate at ~2 moles O₂ m⁻² (Weeding & Trull 2014), delivering organic carbon to the ocean interior at rates close to the global median (~1 g particulate organic carbon m⁻² yr⁻¹ at 1000 m depth) in association with calcium carbonate as the dominant mineral phase (Trull et al. 2001a). As summarized in ‘Results’, water column conditions in the 2010–2011 austral season were very similar to these previous results.

**Seawater sample collection**

A Remote Access Sampler (RAS-500 McLane Labs) filled forty-eight 500 ml Tedlar bags in pairs (collected 1 h apart at 02:00 and 03:00 UTC) at pre-programmed 9-d intervals, between 12 September 2010 and 7 April 2011 (Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m589p013_supp.pdf).

The RAS uses a common inlet and distributes samples to the bags via a multi-port valve without passing through a pump, thus avoiding mechanical damage of cells and potential contamination from pump components. The smallest cross-sectional area of the sampling flow path is 1.51 mm² (diameter 1.39 mm) and thus should effectively sample all phytoplankton species, including colonial and chain-forming morphologies. A black plastic shroud and a 1000 µm plastic mesh shield on the sample inlet were added to minimize bio-fouling of the sampler and inlet (Pender et al. 2010). In between collection events, the sample inlet was back-flushed with mercuric chloride biocide (500 µM) to prevent biological growth.

Prior to deployment, the RAS was primed with approximately 5 ml of distilled, deionized water, filling all spaces to prevent air-locks. One bag in each pair was pre-loaded with saturated aqueous mercuric chloride (Alfa Aesar Puratronic, 99.999%, to achieve a final concentration of 80 µM in the collected sample) for measurement of dissolved constituents (without filtration), including inorganic carbon, alkalinity and nutrients. The other bag in each pair was pre-loaded with microscopy-grade, low-acidity glutaraldehyde (Merck, final concentration 1%).

**Protist identification**

Upon retrieval, samples were refrigerated at 4°C in the dark until analysis. Due to the biomass, samples were pre-concentrated by sedimentation. Sample bags were gently mixed, and the entire sample volume was decanted into 500 ml tall-form measuring cylinders, and Lugols iodine was added to aid sedimentation (Sournia 1978). The settled samples were drawn down to 100 ml after 1 wk, decanted into tall-form 100 ml cylinders and concentrated to a final volume of 15 ml after a further 1 wk of settling. Pre-concentrated samples were stored in amber glass vials at 4°C until examination. Counts were performed on a 6 ml aliquot transferred to a Utermöhl chamber, using an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope (differential interference contrast or phase contrast) at 320×. The highest magnification for identification was 630×. The entire chamber was scanned for species composition, and consecutive fields of view were examined until 400 cells of the dominant group or species were observed (typically *Phaeocystis*). Counts (as cells l⁻¹) were corrected for the proportion of the chamber counted and the concentration factor. The limits of light microscopy mean that nanoplanクトon (2–20 µm), microplankton (20–200 µm), and smaller members of the mesoplankton (200–2000 µm) are the focus of the observations described here. Unless possessing distinctive morphology, cells <5 µm were collectively grouped as URGOs (unidentified round green objects), and will be the subject of more detailed examination by electron microscopy in future work.

Primary references for phytoplankton identification were Hallegraeff et al. (2010), Scott & Marchant (2005), Tomas (1997) and references therein. Primary
chlorophyll was based on the manufacturer’s calibrations for the Pulse-7 deployment were presented in the Supplement (see Fig. S1). Estimation of the degree of silicification for diatom species is based on microscopic examination, and the taxonomic literature. Data for phytoplankton community composition have been archived in the Australian Phytoplankton Database (Davies et al. 2016). Both abundance and biovolume data are available for download from the Australian Ocean Data Network (AODN) portal (https://portal.aodn.org.au/). The data may be found by searching AODN for the Australian Phytoplankton Database, and selecting the project name ‘Southern Ocean Time Series’ (IMOS dataset number P805).

Ancillary measurements

Sensors recorded temperature and salinity (Sea-bird SBE16+V2 CTD), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) (Alec Electronics), chlorophyll fluorescence and red light back-scatter (Wetlabs FLNTUS instrument with an anti-biofouling wiper), dissolved oxygen (Aanderaa optode 3835 and Seabird SBE-43 electrode), and total dissolved gases (Pro-oceanus Gas Tension Device). Instruments were calibrated at the CSIRO Calibrations Facility (Hobart, Tasmania) and Sea Bird Electronics, and serviced before and after deployment. Detailed analysis of the temperature, salinity, MLD, oxygen and gas tension measurements for the Pulse-7 deployment were presented in Weeding & Trull (2014).

Conversion of the FLNTUS fluorescence record to chlorophyll was based on the manufacturer’s calibration (uranine working standards with normalisation to a 25 µg l⁻¹ chlorophyll a suspension of Skeletonema costatum diatom cells; Wetlabs), owing to a lack of in situ pigment measurements for this deployment of the Pulse package. This lack of local calibration means that the conversion of chlorophyll fluorescence to concentration is quite uncertain. Roesler et al. (2017) showed that the manufacturer’s calibration of fluorescence instruments significantly overestimates SO chlorophyll concentrations by 5- to 8-fold (versus pigment samples), and their comparison of radiometric and fluorescence chlorophyll estimates on autonomous profiling floats suggests 3.5- to 4.5-fold for our South Indian sector. Accordingly, we display our fluorescence results divided by a factor of 4. This brings them into reasonable accord with the MODIS satellite remote sensing chlorophyll estimates (after their adjustment for improved regional calibration against in situ pigment measurements, as described below). We also removed fluorescence data from mid-December onward when it became very noisy and elevated and the FLNTUS backscatter instrument showed large spikes, suggesting biofouling (data not shown).

The daily average levels of PAR available to support photosynthesis were estimated from the Alec Electronics sensor hourly in-air records from the Pulse surface float, assuming 98% transmission across the air–water interface and a blue-water attenuation length scale of 25 m (Kirk 1994). This attenuation length choice was consistent with a ship CTD PAR profile obtained in August 2011 (23.3 m, voyage IN2011_V03 CTD 21, data not shown), and provides an upper limit to PAR availability, given that biomass accumulation reduces the attenuation length scale (e.g. to 13.5 m in March; based on ship CTD PAR profiles). Importantly, our Alec PAR sensor has not been through rigorous calibration, and we scaled the results by dividing by a factor of 5 to bring them into accord with results from the ship CTD. This scaling is approximate, and the PAR estimates should be considered approximate, probably not better than a factor of 2. Fortunately, this large uncertainty does not compromise the main PAR results as used in our interpretation below, that PAR availability is controlled by MLD and varies between growth limiting low levels in winter and moderate levels without photo-inhibition in summer, consistent with previous results in this region (Westwood et al. 2011).

Dissolved nutrients (silicate, phosphate, and the sum of nitrite+nitrate, hereafter referred to simply as nitrate) were measured on the mercuric chloride preserved, unfiltered samples at CSIRO Marine Labora-
tories (Hobart, Tasmania) using Lachat QuickChem spectrophotometric methods 31-115-01-1-I, 31-107-04-1-A, and 31-114-27-1-D. Detection limits were 0.02 µM P, 0.05 µM N, and 0.1 µM Si, and data were corrected for dilution by the prime volume, based on comparing measured sample and *in situ* (RAS package CTD) salinities. The seasonal cycle of nutrient concentrations determined using these samples is very similar to that based on CTD Niskin samples (Lourey & Trull 2001), and on this basis we consider the RAS sampling technique to be free of biases.

Satellite sea-surface temperature and chlorophyll visualisations were produced with the Giovanni online data system, developed and maintained by the NASA GES DISC. Due to extensive cloud cover, MODIS-Aqua surface chlorophyll images for selected dates only, and the average for the whole deployment period are presented. Johnson et al. (2013) showed this chlorophyll product underestimates *in situ* chlorophyll levels south of Australia by a factor of 1.74 (mode of 95% range from 0.4 to 4), and for the purposes of comparison to our *in situ* FLNTUS instrument fluorescence based chlorophyll estimates, we increased the MODIS values by this factor.

###results

**Environmental conditions during the deployment period**

Surface chlorophyll estimated from MODIS satellite ocean colour was low, averaging 0.5 mg m\(^{-3}\) across the whole deployment period (Fig. 1B, noting that these values have been corrected as per Johnson et al. 2013; see ‘Materials and methods’). Despite persistent cloud cover, satellite imagery revealed the seasonal development of a phytoplankton bloom to the north that extended towards the SOTS location (Fig. 2), consistent with previous evaluations of regional biomass distributions (Bowie et al. 2011). Our *in situ* fluorometer revealed similar seasonality (Fig. 3E). Low winter levels increased ~3-fold by November as stratification progressed, reaching an overall ~5-fold increase by December as stratification stabilized.

MLD estimated from the Pulse mooring (Fig. 3A) was ~500 m at the end of winter and shoaled to <100 m during October. Between mid-November and mid-February, the MLD further stratified to 50–60 m. Two short-term increases to >300 m occurred in late February and early March, before a steady deepening at the end of summer and beginning of autumn. These MLD variations are consistent with seasonality inferred from ship voyages over many years (Rintoul & Trull 2001), and confirm that...
the winter MLDs in this region are deeper than the circumpolar SAZ average (~400 m) as assembled from Argo floats (Dong et al. 2008). Atmospheric pressure, wind speed, and wave strengths for this deployment were discussed in detail in Weeding & Trull (2014) and showed no discernible seasonal pattern. Water temperature ranged from 8.6°C in late winter to a summer maximum of 12.1°C, consistent with the long-term average total seasonal amplitude of 3.5°C for the SAZ south of Australia (Trull et al. 2001b).

Salinity gradually increased by 0.25 (from 34.53 to 34.78) as summer progressed, and was marked by the passage of distinctly saltier water parcels derived from modified subtropical waters in November 2010 (Fig. 3C). The seasonal cycle of MLD was the dominant control on the levels of PAR (Fig. 3D) experienced by phytoplankton, with mean daily values, averaged over the mixed layer and 24-h cycle, increasing from very low (<5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; i.e. <0.5 mol m⁻² d⁻¹) in winter and early spring to moderate levels in mid-summer (~75 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; i.e. ~7 mol m⁻² d⁻¹). These ranges are consistent with the classical view of temperate marine ecology that light limitation limits growth in early spring and is alleviated by stratification (Sverdrup 1953), but without reaching saturation or inducing photo-inhibition (MacIntyre et al. 2002, Westwood et al. 2011, Strzepek et al. 2012).

Nitrate, phosphate, and silicate concentrations reached maximum values in October (Fig. 3F), consistent with the SOTS results for pCO₂ that show...
Fig. 3. Pulse 7 time-series of (A) mixed-layer depth (MLD), (B) temperature, (C) salinity, (D) photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; averaged over the MLD) (E) chlorophyll (Wetlabs FLNTUS and MODIS) and (F) nutrients. Note that phosphate data are multiplied by the Redfield ratio to more clearly show the seasonal change. (A–D) Individual sample dates are shown as filled circles superimposed on the sensor data. Data for B–F were collected at 32 m depth.
renewal of surface ocean nutrients through winter until stratification recommences in early spring (Shadwick et al. 2015). Depletions of all 3 nutrients were substantial over the season, and similar to previous estimates based on a seasonal cycle assembled from data across multiple years (Lourey & Trull 2001). Nitrate decreased from approximately 15 to 9 µM. Based on the observed salinity increase over this period, approximately 20% of this decrease is likely to have been derived from increasing summer supply of oligotrophic subtropical waters (Lourey & Trull 2001). Phosphate depletion was linearly correlated with the nitrate depletion with a ratio of 12.9 ± 2.3 (R² = 0.602), i.e. somewhat lower than the global average Redfield ratio of 16, but similar to previous observations in the Subantarctic and Polar Frontal Zone south of Australia (Lourey & Trull 2001). The end of summer nitrate and phosphate levels remained well above limiting concentrations for phytoplankton growth (Bowie et al. 2011). In contrast, silicate depletion did reach limiting levels, decreasing from 3.6 µM in early spring to 0.8 µM in mid-summer, i.e. very close to the level of 0.7 µM below which it appears that diatoms cannot further decrease silicate (Paasche 1973). The nitrate/silicate depletion ratio over the deployment was 2.1 ± 0.23 (R² = 0.795) and thus well above values of 0.25–0.5 expected for a diatom-dominated community (Takeda 1998, Raguenseau et al. 2006), indicating the importance of other organisms in the seasonal uptake and export of nutrients. The depletion of nutrients was most rapid from early November through mid-December (Fig. 3F), consistent with surface-layer-oxygen-budget based estimates of net community production (NCP), which indicated production increased before and during spring stratification followed by summer-time cessation of NCP (Weeding & Trull 2014). The role of resupply of nutrients by mixing across the base of the mixed layer is most important in this spring period; sufficient that the actual biological drawdown of nitrate and phosphate may be ~50% higher than the water column depletion, with this effect decreasing to ~10% in summer (Wang et al. 2001).

**Phytoplankton community structure**

Data are presented as both abundance (cells l⁻¹; Fig. 4A,B) and biovolume (µm³ l⁻¹; Fig. 4C,D) because these metrics have differing implications for conceptual models of trophodynamics and carbon export from surface waters. The relative sizes and estimated biovolumes of the major groups highlights variations in cell size from 3 to 200 µm, and an associated 4–5 orders of magnitude variation in biovolume (Fig. S2 in the Supplement). Small cells at the limit of reasonable discrimination by light microscopy (2–5 µm) may include a wide variety of species, including parmales, diatoms, coccolithophores, flagellates, chlorophytes, cyanobacteria, and choanoflagellates.

**Taxa abundances**

Total phytoplankton abundance (cells l⁻¹) for the main groups (diatoms, dinoflagellates, silicoflagellates, flagellates, loricate [tintinnid] and naked ciliates, and unidentified ‘other’ group) for the 24 samples in the time series show that with the exception of tintinnids and silicoflagellates, all major groups were present in each sample (Fig. 4A). Total phytoplankton abundance was lowest at the start of the deployment (early spring), and increased by ~2 orders of magnitude over the entire sampling period, concomitant with the smaller increase (~5-fold) in chlorophyll observed in both satellite and sensor data (Fig. 3E). All samples were dominated by flagellates, primarily the motile form of the autotrophic haptophyte *Phaeocystis antarctica*, with a minor contribution of *Phaeocystis scrobiculata*, and unidentified nanoflagellates. The percentage of *P. antarctica* was initially low (average 22%) in September 2010, but was more typically 50–75% of the total cell count for the majority of the deployment period. Overall, the community abundance was dominated by autotrophs, with a small (2-fold) increase in species richness (S) over the period of the deployment (Fig. 4A).

Diatoms and dinoflagellates followed similar patterns of abundance, with low initial concentrations, increasing in abundance with increasing water temperature, shoaling MLD, and the drawdown of nutrients throughout spring and summer. The highest abundances of both diatoms and dinoflagellates were observed in late summer; however, the proportion of these groups together rarely exceeded 25% of the total abundance. Variations in the diatom:dinoflagellate ratio were generally small (Fig. 4E), with the greatest variation arising during the period of MLD instability in September 2010. The maximum ratio of diatoms to dinoflagellates (5:1) occurred when silicate concentration (3.6 µM) peaked in the sample collected on 30 September 2010.

Ciliates rarely contributed more than 10% to total abundance (Fig. 4B), except in the first samples collected in early spring. By early December, ciliates...
were absent, and typically contributed less than 5% of the total abundance for the remainder of the deployment, coincident with increases in the ‘other’ category. Large loricate ciliates (tintinnids) were rarer still and completely absent from the samples for periods in November, December and March. Tintinnid genera present included *Ascambeliella*, *Dictyocysta*, *Epiplocylis*, *Eutintinnus*, *Parunella*, *Rhabdonella*, *Salpingella* and *Steenstrupiella*. Silicoflagellates (in the skeleton-bearing state) were the rarest category of microplankton, with *Stephanocha speculum* and *Dictyocha stapedia* present in early samples, but only sporadically observed once silicate concentrations dropped below ~2 µM in summer.

**Taxa biovolumes**

Total biovolume (µm³ l⁻¹) increased steadily throughout spring, reaching a ‘peak’ in early December (Fig. 4C) with contributions from large diatom species (*Corethron*, *Coscinodiscus*), gymnodinioids, and armoured dinoflagellates (*Oxytoxum*, *Protoperidinium*, *Prorocentrum*). The highest diatom biovolume occurred in late September, with 75% of the total biovolume attributed to the large centric species *Proboscia alata*, *Corethron pennatum*, and *Thalassiosira* spp. A similar level of total biomass was achieved in late January/early February; however, the contribution of diatoms to total biovolume was highly **Fig. 4.** Pulse-7 phytoplankton samples collected at 9-d intervals from September 2010 to April 2011 showing major group (A) abundance (cells l⁻¹), (B) % abundance, (C) biovolume (µm³ l⁻¹), (D) % biovolume and (E) ratio of diatoms:dinoflagellates (biovolume and abundance) and number of species (diversity, S). Note the log scale for A, C and E (diatom:dinoflagellate ratio)
variable, as the proportion of tintinnids and aloricate ciliates increased. The maximum diatom:dinoflagellate biovolume ratio (14:1) occurred at the same time as the corresponding maximum abundance ratio (Fig. 4E).

The community at the end of summer was dominated by diatoms (Leptocylindrus mediterraneus, Proboscia alata) that appeared more weakly silicified than in earlier samples, dinoflagellates (Tripos pentagonus/lineatus complex, Oxytoxum, Protoperidinium), and Strombidium-like ciliates. Nanoflagellates were the most abundant group; however, Phaeocystis and other nanoflagellates contributed less than 3% to the total biovolume (Fig. 4D). Silicoflagellates in the skeleton-bearing stage were also a very minor contributor to total biovolume, owing to both their low concentrations and relatively small biovolume (Fig. S2 in the Supplement). At the conclusion of the deployment (April 2011), total biovolume declined as MLD began to destabilize, accompanied by slight decreases in water temperature and salinity (Fig. 3). Neither abundance nor biovolume data showed a clear response to the transient passage of warm, salty, low-nutrient water parcels identified in Fig. 3.

Seasonal groupings

Cluster analysis of community abundance data (Fig. 5A) displays the overall similarity between pairs of samples over the deployment. The dendrogram is arbitrarily rotated to display a largely chronological order for ease of comparison with the time-series plots, but the critical feature is the groupings of samples, and the similarity level at which each group is formed. Three main groups were delineated at 47% similarity: early and late spring groups and a combined summer/autumn group (stress = 0.12). Cluster analysis of biovolume data (not shown) showed 3 very similar seasonal groupings (2 spring groups, and autumn tightly clustered within a broader summer group), although the delineation was at 41% similarity. The nMDS based on abundance was mutually consistent with the cluster analysis and confirmed the representation of seasonal groupings (Fig. 5B). The time trajectory shown on the nMDS for abundance data shows the seasonal groups coincided with a shift from deep MLD to a stable MLD. The global R-value for the ANOSIM routine was 0.952, rejecting the null hypothesis that there was no difference in assemblages in abundance groups based on MLD (deep, stratifying, stable/shallow) at the significance level of p < 0.01.

Although the physical and chemical variables measured clearly captured the temporary presence...
of warmer, saltier, low-nutrient water in late spring, the phytoplankton community composition did not shift in any demonstrable way, suggesting that small variations in warming were not a dominant control on community structure.

Species contributing to seasonal groupings

Species contributions to the 3 groups (early spring, late spring, summer/autumn) calculated by SIMPER analysis identified *Phaeocystis antarctica* as the species with the largest contribution to the average similarity within each group (Table 1), reflecting its abundance in all samples. A small increase in diversity in the groups is reflected by an increase in the number of discriminating species contributing to the cumulative similarity (cut-off at 50%), with a corresponding decrease in the contribution of *P. antarctica* to the overall similarity within each group. The early spring cluster was characterized by *Phaeocystis*, fusiform flagellates and *Thalassiosira* (20−30 µm), and the occurrence of the silicoflagellate *S. speculum*, which cumulatively accounted for 50% of the overall similarity of these samples. Interestingly, pollen (*Pinus* spp.) was also a contributor, albeit minor, suggesting a potential influence from seasonal wind patterns. Few diatom taxa were present in the SIMPER groups, other than small *Thalassiosira* (20−30 µm) in early spring, and the smaller size class URGO category (<5 µm) in summer/autumn, which contained many very small diatoms such as *Mini-discus* and smaller *Fragilaria* species, resolved only with SEM and the subject of more discrimination in later deployments. Late spring was characterized by a slightly more diverse community with more dinoflagellate species (*Prorocentrum*, *Scripsiella*, *Oxytoxum*, *Protoperdinium*) contributing to the group similarity, in addition to a smaller size class of *Thalassiosira* and fewer silicoflagellates. The summer/ autumn cluster included contributions from a greater number of heterotrophic and mixotrophic taxa (including unidentified heterotrophs of 10–20 µm and 20–30 µm size, and *Strombidium*), as well as *Mesodinium* and the URGO class containing small diatoms.

### Diatom species community composition

Although diatoms were a numerically minor contributor to total abundance, their total biovolume was large, typically 20−50% of the total and occasionally

---

**Table 1. Contributions to average group similarity, expressed as average abundance per group, average contribution to group similarity (highest to lowest), ratio of contribution to standard deviation (SD), individual % contribution to the group similarity and cumulative % similarity (cut-off 50%), according to SIMPER analysis. ML: mixed layer; URGO: unidentified round green object.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>Average abundance</th>
<th>Contribution to group similarity</th>
<th>Similarity/SD</th>
<th>% Contribution</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early spring (deep ML) average similarity 50.97</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phaeocystis antarctica</em></td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform flagellate 5−10 µm</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>34.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thalassiosira</em> small (20−30 µm)</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>42.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stephanocha</em> speculum</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>50.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Late spring (stratifying ML) average similarity 56.89</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phaeocystis antarctica</em></td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>14.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round flagellate 5−10 µm</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>23.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform flagellate 5−10 µm</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>30.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strombidium</em> spp.</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>35.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Prorocentrum</em> spp.</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>40.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scripsiella</em> trochoidea</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>45.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oxytoxum</em> spp.</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>50.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer/autumn (stable ML) average similarity 56.60</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phaeocystis antarctica</em></td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round flagellate 5−10 µm</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>19.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified heterotrophs 10−20 µm</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>25.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform flagellate 5−10 µm</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>31.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URGO &lt;5 µm</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>36.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oxytoxum</em> spp.</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>41.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnodinioid 10−15 µm</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>46.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mesodinium</em> rubrum</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>51.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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exceeding 75\% (Fig. 4C,D). Species composition in the early spring included small *Thalassiosira* (<30 µm diameter), *Leptocylindrus mediterraneus* (almost always colonised by the epiphytic stramenopile *Soleniocola setigera*), and minor contributions to total abundance from pennate genera such as *Ceratoneis*, *Fragilariopsis*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, and *Thalassithrix*. Rarer taxa such as *Planktoniella sol*, *Asteromphalus parvulus*, and *Proboscia alata* appeared sporadically, generally later in the time series. *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis*, a commonly observed SO phytoplankton (Cortese & Gersonde 2007) was present only as fragments or associated with faecal pellets, possibly indicating the limits of its temperature niche, or preferential grazing by zooplankton resulting in very low standing stocks (Rigual-Hernandez et al. 2015).

Throughout summer, small centric diatoms were an important contributor to total diatom abundance, with a decrease in the size class observed in November–December (<10 µm diameter) to <5 µm diameter in December–February. Greater resolution of these smaller size classes using SEM analysis will be pursued in subsequent deployments.

**Influence of environmental variables**

We explored possible relationships between biotic and abiotic data, to assess how community patterns are aligned with expectations about the major drivers of phytoplankton community composition (turbulence, nutrients). Comparison of the species similarity matrices (using all 108 taxa) with the environmental variable matrices (Table 2) shows that for single variables, silicate, MLD, temperature, and nitrate provided the highest rank correlations. Considering pairs of variables improved the correlations by more than 10\%, with similar correlation strengths for silicate, temperature, and nitrate, all paired with MLD. Adding a third variable produced only very small correlation increases. Average mixed-layer PAR, chlorophyll concentrations, and salinity showed the poorest correlations.

**DISCUSSION**

We compare our results to previous observations in the SAZ, at the levels of major groups and then the siliceous species. We then consider the high temporal resolution seasonal cycle provided by the autonomous sampler, and its relationships to environmental conditions, trophodynamics, and the potential implications for carbon export and cycling of nutrients in the SAZ. In seeking to answer the questions we posed in the ‘Introduction’, we note that resolution of the complete protist community is made difficult by the wide range of cells sizes present (picoplankton 0.2–2 µm; nanoplankton 2–20 µm; microplankton 20–200 µm; and mesoplankton 200–2000 µm), and the limitations of our sampling, preservation, and light microscopy techniques.

**What is the composition of the phytoplankton community in the SAZ?**

The subdued seasonality exhibited in the phytoplankton community at the SOTS observatory is most evident in the absence of a classical spring bloom. The general community structure we observed is consistent with other temporally limited, but broader spatial surveys of the region, with some differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>One variable</th>
<th>Corr</th>
<th>Two variables</th>
<th>Corr</th>
<th>Three variables</th>
<th>Corr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>Silicate + MLD</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>Silicate + Nitrate + MLD</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MLD</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>Temperature + MLD</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>Silicate + Temperature + MLD</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>Nitrate + MLD</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>Temperature + Nitrate + MLD</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>Silicate + Nitrate</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>Phosphate + Silicate + MLD</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>Silicate + Temp</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>Silicate + Chlorophyll + MLD</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PAR\text{MLD}</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>Phosphate + MLD</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>Silicate + Nitrate + PAR\text{MLD}</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chlorophyll</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>Nitrate + Temp</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>Silicate + Nitrate + Temp</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>Nitrate + PAR\text{MLD}</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>Phosphate + Temperature + MLD</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of BEST analysis for single, double and triple subsets of environmental variables measured at the Southern Ocean Time Series deployment, 2010–2011. The Spearman rank correlation for the variable(s) yielding the best matches between the community and environmental similarity matrices is shown. MLD: mixed-layer depth; PAR: photosynthetically active radiation.
The autotrophic haptophyte *Phaeocystis antarctica* dominated sample abundances throughout the deployment, although we only observed the solitary motile phase, not the colonial form. Studies in the SAZ by Kopczynska et al. (2007) and de Salas et al. (2011) recorded mixed phytoplankton communities with abundances dominated by prymeniohyte nanoflagellates, while Iida & Odate (2014) used pigment analyses to show that *P. antarctica* represented between 30 and 75% of total chlorophyll biomass in the summer. Recent re-analysis of the SAZ-SENSE data including CHEMTAX analysis of phytoplankton pigments by Cassar et al. (2015) and re-examination of microscopy samples (R. Eriksen unpubl. data) also support a large standing stock of *Phaeocystis* in the SAZ. *Phaeocystis* is observed to recurrently bloom in the polar SO (DiTullio et al. 2000), and may occur as different morphologies—solitary forms or colonies. The solitary form is more common under conditions of iron limitation (Schoemann et al. 2005), although this may also reflect grazing pressure (Tang 2003). There are potential implications for carbon removal mechanisms associated with the dominant morphology, as up to 50% of the carbon mass of *P. antarctica* is allocated to the extracellular mucus of the colonial matrix (DiTullio et al. 2000). Notably, we observed no colonial forms, consistent with low iron availability.

Flow cytometry data (Cassar et al. 2015) showed that small cyanobacteria contributed up to 20% of identified biomass across the SAZ. This size class (<2 µm diameter) is beyond the practical resolution of light microscopy and thus not quantified here, but reinforces the importance of assessing the pico-plankton in understanding community composition in the SAZ. Other species beyond the practical resolution of light microscopy include the coccolithophores. Although recognized as an important indicator of ocean acidification impacts (Deppeler & Davidson 2017), their overall contribution to total abundance in the SAZ has been shown to be small (Iida & Odate 2014, Trull et al. 2018) and variable (Cubillos et al. 2007, Kopczynska et al. 2007; S. Wright, Australian Antarctic Division, unpublished pigment data).

Primary production in the SO is widely reported to be dominated by diatoms, but this is probably most true of polar waters, and less so of Subantarctic waters. We observed diatoms to be a relatively small component of the total community abundance, coexisting with other groups. This is consistent with previous work south of Australia (Kopczynska et al. 2007), where *Pseudo-nitzschia*, *Nitzschia*, *Rhizosolenia*, and *Fragilariopsis* were observed to occur in low numbers; however, in contrast, we did not observe any diatom resting stages in our samples. Formation of resting stages in diatoms have been linked to both iron and nitrate depletion (typically following a spring bloom), and can significantly alter the density and sinking rate of cells and export of carbon through development of heavily silicified spore frustules (Sugie & Kuma 2008).

**How does this community vary seasonally?**

From an abundance perspective, the succession of major groups over the deployment was subdued. With the exception of the silicoflagellates, which were present in late winter and early spring, and sporadic records of tintinnids, all groups were represented in all samples. At the species level, there were subtle changes in the composition of the major clusters identified, and these could be tied to 3 distinct stages in the development of the mixed layer: early spring (deep mixed layer), late spring (stratifying mixed layer), and a longer period of stable mixed layer in summer/autumn. Species richness increased modestly, and the contribution of *P. antarctica* to group similarity decreased throughout the deployment, while the number of other taxa contributing to the similarity within groups increased.

Conversion to biovolume offers us a different perspective on the successional changes in the community. Diatoms and dinoflagellates combined to typically represent between 40 and 90% of the total biovolume, with ciliates (loricate and aloricate) the other major contributor. Diatoms have been described as ubiquitous generalists (r strategists), while dinoflagellates are more responsive to niche changes (K strategists), and with the exception of silicate, these 2 phytoplankton groups are reported to have basically comparable nutrient requirements, with the potential to substitute for each other in biogeochemical cycles (Klais et al. 2011). The ratio of diatom to dinoflagellate biovolumes in early spring favoured the diatoms, and decreased rapidly during stratification, before stabilizing near 1 in early summer and then decreasing further towards the end of the record. Under conditions of the stable mixed layer over summer, dinoflagellates contributed proportionally more to total biovolume than diatoms. This seasonal sequence of succession from diatoms towards dinoflagellates is a core aspect of the phenology developed by Margalef (1978), based on resource limitation in summer, which dinoflagellates overcome via their motility. However, in the very deep SAZ mixed layers, with the presence of abundant phosphate and
nitrate, this is not an obvious explanation. Instead, the sequence may reflect the depletion of silica and its limitation of diatom abundance and size. This view is consistent with the lack of any strong seasonality in the numerical abundance of dinoflagellates relative to diatoms. Dinoflagellates are often observed to inhibit cell division during turbulent events, dividing rapidly during periods of calm after a high level of turbulence (Thomas et al. 1995). A small injection of silicate in late autumn saw an increased contribution from diatoms to biovolume, although the ratio continued to favour the dinoflagellates.

How are the seasonal taxonomic variations influenced by environmental conditions?

Our analysis identified significant correlations between seasonal community composition variations and environmental variabilities, in particular, silicate and MLD, but also temperature and nitrate. Because all these variables are also correlated with each other (Table 3), owing to the dominance of the seasonal cycle in their signals, evaluation of their relative potential importance to community composition benefits from consideration of possible mechanisms of control and associated amplitudes. Before addressing this, we note that salinity did not present as an important correlate with community composition, and thus inputs of subtropical water do not appear to have had a significant influence (a point that also emerged from the lack of community composition changes in November, when parcels of high-salinity water passed the site).

Nitrate concentration remained non-limiting throughout the deployment, and thus it seems unlikely that this was a direct influence. It is possible that nitrate (and phosphate) depletion might serve as a proxy for iron depletion, which is known to reach limiting levels at this site by mid-summer (Bowie et al. 2009, Lannuzel et al. 2011) and quite possibly much sooner in the season (Sedwick et al. 2008). The observed magnitude of nitrate depletion was sufficient to indicate complete iron depletion by mid-summer (0.2 nM Fe consumption after 3 µM nitrate consumption), based on a moderate Fe/N depletion ratio (10 µmol Fe/mole C at Redfield C/N; de Baar et al. 1995, Maldonado et al. 2001).

The maximum temperature range observed (3.5°C) at the SOTS site was likely too small to be a significant influence compared to the boundaries of the temperature niche widths of phytoplankton taxa in temperate parts of the SO (Norberg 2004, Boyd et al. 2013, Thomas et al. 2016). Thus, as with nitrate, the correlation of community diversity with temperature may reflect other seasonal controls, and a direct influence is unlikely. The amount of PAR available to the phytoplankton community was controlled by MLD, as described above, and the variations were substantial, from growth-limiting levels in winter to moderate levels in summer. This might be expected to be a key mechanism by which MLD affects community composition, but the average PAR in the MLD was not identified by the BEST analysis as an important variable. This could reflect similar light requirements across the taxa, or of course other impacts on their accumulation, for example, the influence of MLD on removal by grazing (which we cannot evaluate from our observations).

Silicate emerged as having a very strong influence on community structure. As noted in the ‘Results’ section, this is consistent with drawdown to below 1 µM, considered to be limiting to diatoms. Silicate levels were also linked to the presence of silicoflagellates, which were observed mainly in late winter and early spring, when silicate levels were at their highest. Changes in diatom size and silification were observed later in the year, with the appearance of smaller, more weakly silicified centric diatoms in summer. Silicate drawdown, in contrast to nitrate limitation, has previously been shown to lead to more lightly silicified cells (Davis & Hildebrand 2010, de Salas et al. 2011, Fripiat 2011), and to have a profound effect on growth rates and both abundance and species composition (Leblanc & Hutchins 2005). Rapid recycling of silicate would also be necessary to sustain siliceous species in any numbers under conditions of limited supply (Queguiner 2001). Takeda

Table 3. Correlation coefficients (Pearson’s r) between environmental variables recorded at the Southern Ocean Time Series site during the Pulse 7 deployment (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). MLD: mixed-layer depth; PAR_{MLD}: photosynthetically active radiation averaged over the MLD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phosphate</th>
<th>Silicate</th>
<th>Nitrate</th>
<th>MLD</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>PAR_{MLD}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td>0.703**</td>
<td>0.892**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.776**</td>
<td>0.714**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.772**</td>
<td>0.714**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>−0.740**</td>
<td>−0.953**</td>
<td>−0.914**</td>
<td>−0.778**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>−0.461*</td>
<td>−0.073</td>
<td>−0.404</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR_{MLD}</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>−0.495*</td>
<td>−0.305</td>
<td>−0.764**</td>
<td>0.440*</td>
<td>−0.540**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1998) observed changes in diatom cells under iron limitation, tending towards smaller cell volumes with higher silica contents per cell. Thus, changes to diatom silification may occur as a direct result of silica availability, and/or via the influence of iron depletion.

No significant correlation of community structure with total chlorophyll biomass was observed, presumably as a result of the small seasonal improvement in growth conditions, which failed to produce a strong spring bloom, and which led to only moderate biomass increase. These ‘bottom-up’ controls on community composition phenology may of course be modified by ‘top-down’ selective feeding (Mariani et al. 2013), an issue for which we do not have data to address and, accordingly, must remain unresolved.

**How might the community structure influence the biological carbon pump?**

We observed a high nitrate/silicate drawdown ratio, well above that expected for dominance of export by diatoms on their own. This means that the nanoplankton-dominated communities in the SAZ are capable of making significant contributions to carbon export, even under conditions of limited iron availability. This has been emphasized recently in this region, based on net community production results and their comparison to pigment compositions in late summer (Cassar et al. 2015), and was previously inferred from global median levels of organic carbon export to deep sediment traps unaccompanied by biogenic silica (Trull et al. 2001a). As we have now shown, this export observed by others using traps (Trull et al. 2001a, Ebersbach et al. 2011), net community production methods (Weeding & Trull 2014, Cassar et al. 2015), and pCO₂ observations (Shadwick et al. 2015) occurs despite the lack of a strong spring bloom, emphasizing that decoupling of production and grazing is not always required to achieve significant biological carbon sequestration.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our study in the surface waters of the SAZ captured community composition over a spring–summer–autumn cycle, and showed that the phytoplankton community abundance at the SOTS site was dominated throughout the deployment by the autotrophic haptophyte *P. antarctica*, primarily in the solitary flagellate form. Diatoms were a small component numerically, but were important from a biovolume perspective, with changes in the size and degree of silification as silica in the water column was depleted. Other siliceous algae such as silicoflagellates in the skeleton-bearing stage also responded to silica drawdown.

Although there were no dramatic shifts in community composition over the period of the deployment, the phytoplankton community structure was linked to the depth of the mixed layer, with samples clustering as spring assemblages (MLD > 500 m), or summer/autumn assemblages (MLD < 50 m). The sensor array picked up passage of several distinct water parcels in spring, but these short-term events were not reflected by changes in the phytoplankton community composition. The overall subdued seasonality is interesting given the observed seasonal depletion of dissolved silica and, presumably, dissolved iron based on previous work (Sedwick et al. 2008, Bowie et al. 2011). It suggests that efficient recycling of these elements may be a key moderator of changes in seasonal community composition. Coupling of this time series with later deployments at the SOTS site will shed further light on the representativeness of our results, and further improve our understanding of the controls on phytoplankton abundance, diversity, and controls on succession.
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